Poster
MM-168

ANCHOR (OP-104) Study of Melflufen and Dexamethasone Plus Bortezomib or Daratumumab in Patients With Relapsed/Refractory Multiple Myeloma (RRMM) Refractory to an IMiD and/or a Proteasome Inhibitor (PI): Phase 1 Update

Ludek Pour,[1] Yvonne A. Efebera,[2] Miquel Granell,[3] Roman Hajek,[4] Albert Oriol,[5] Jacques Delaunay,[6] Katell Le Du,[7] Jean-Richard Eveillard,[8] Lionel Karlin,[9] Vladimir Maisnar,[10] Joaquin Martinez-Lopez,[11] María-Victoria Mateos,[12] Maxim Norkin,[13] Vincent Ribrag,[14] Paul G. Richardson,[15] Jan Straub,[16] Catriona Byrne,[17] Christian Jacques,[17] Malin Sydvander,[17] and Enrique Ocio[18]

[1]Fakultní nemocnice Brno, Brno, Czech Republic; [2]Division of Hematology, The Ohio State University, Columbus, OH, USA; [3]Hospital de la Santa Creu i Sant Pau, Barcelona, Spain; [4]Department of Hemato-oncology, University Hospital Ostrava, Ostrava, Czech Republic; [5]Hospital Germans Trias i Pujol, Badalona, Spain; [6]Hôpital privé du Confluent, Nantes, France; [7]Department of Hematology, Centre Jean Bernard - Clinique Victor Hugo, Le Mans, France; [8]Hôpital Morvan, Brest, France; [9]Department of Hematology, Centre Hospitalier Lyon-Sud, University Claude Bernard Lyon 1, Pierre-Benite, France; [10]Fourth Department of Medicine - Hematology, Charles University Hospital, Hradec Králové, Czech Republic; [11]Hospital Universitario 12 de Octubre, Madrid, Spain; [12]Hospital Clinico Universitario de Salamanca, Salamanca, Spain; [13]Baptist MD Anderson Cancer Center, Jacksonville, FL, USA; [14]DITEP, Gustave Roussy, Université Paris-Saclay, Villejuif, France; [15]Dana-Farber Cancer Institute, Harvard Medical School, Boston, MA, USA; [16]Všeobecná fakultní nemocnice, Prague, Czech Republic; [17]Oncopeptides AB, Stockholm, Sweden; and [18]Hospital Universitario Marques de Valdecilla, Santander, Spain

BACKGROUND

- Despite recent advances in therapy, multiple myeloma (MM) remains incurable, showing the need for novel therapies[1]
- Melflufen is a lipophilic peptide-conjugated alkylator that rapidly delivers a highly cytotoxic payload into myeloma cells through peptidase activity (**Figure 1**)
- Melflufen in combination with dexamethasone (dex) has previously shown encouraging activity in relapsed/refractory MM (RRMM)[2,3]
- Daratumumab and bortezomib are 2 drugs with different mechanisms (anti-CD38 monoclonal antibody [aCD38 mAb] and proteasome inhibitor [PI], respectively) that are approved and commonly used in the treatment of patients with MM
- The phase 1/2 trial OP-104 ANCHOR investigates the safety and efficacy of melflufen and dex in combination with either bortezomib or daratumumab in patients with RRMM

Figure 1. Melflufen Mechanism of Action



Melflufen is 50-fold more potent than melphalan in myeloma cells in vitro due to increased intracellular alkylator activity[7,8]

OBJECTIVES

- The primary objective of phase 1 is to determine the optimal dose of melflufen, up to a maximum of 40 mg, in combination with dex and either bortezomib or daratumumab
- Once the optimal dose has been established, an additional 20 patients per regimen will be recruited in the phase 2 part of the study for which the primary objective is overall response rate (ORR; investigator assessed according to International Myeloma Working Group criteria)

METHODS

- This is a phase 1/2 trial (NCT03481556) of melflufen and dex in combination with either bortezomib (regimen A; **Figure 2**) or daratumumab (regimen B; **Figure 3**)
- All patients must have had 1 to 4 prior lines of therapy and be refractory (or intolerant) to an IMiD or PI or both
  - For the combination with bortezomib, patients cannot be refractory to a PI
  - For the combination with daratumumab, patients must be aCD38 mAb naive
- Patients will be treated until documented progressive disease (PD) or unacceptable toxicity
- Up to 3 dose levels of melflufen are being tested, starting at 30 mg and either increasing to 40 mg or decreasing to 20 mg based on observed dose-limiting toxicity (DLT)
- Melflufen (IV) is administered on day 1 of each 28-day cycle in each regimen
- Each regimen is evaluated separately

Figure 2. Melflufen and Dexamethasone in Combination With Bortezomib



- **Melflufen (IV)** 40/30/20 mg on day 1
- **Bortezomib (SC)** 1.3 mg/m^2 on days 1, 4, 8, and 11
- **Dexamethasone (po)** 20 mg on days 1, 4, 8, and 11 and 40 mg on days 15 and 22[a]

EoT, end of treatment; IV, intravenously; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; po, orally; SC, subcutaneously.
[a]For patients aged ≥75 years: dexamethasone (po) 12 mg on days 1, 4, 8, and 11 and 20 mg on days 15 and 22.

Figure 3. Melflufen and Dexamethasone in Combination With Daratumumab



- **Melflufen (IV)** 40/30/20 mg on day 1
- **Daratumumab (IV)** 16 mg/kg on days 2, 8, 15, and 22 for cycle 1; days 1, 8, 15, and 22 for cycle 2; days 1 and 15 for cycles 3 to 6; and day 1 for cycles 7+
- **Dexamethasone (po)** 40 mg weekly (20 mg for patients aged ≥75 years)[b]

C, cycle; EoT, end of treatment; IV, intravenously; OS, overall survival; PD, progressive disease; PFS, progression-free survival; po, orally.
[a]In cycle 1, daratumumab is given on day 2 due to prolonged infusion time of the first dose.
[b]Oral dexamethasone may be substituted for IV dexamethasone before daratumumab infusion only.

RESULTS

REGIMEN A: Melflufen and dex in combination with bortezomib

- At the time of data cutoff (8 May 2019), 5 patients had been treated with melflufen (3 with 30 mg, 2 with 40 mg) (**Table 1**)
- Median age was 73 years, with a median of 2 prior lines (range, 2-4), and no patient had achieved CR in any previous line
- All patients had relapsed/refractory disease, and 2 of the 5 patients were last-line refractory (PD while on therapy)

Table 1. Patient Characteristics: Regimen A

Characteristics	n=5[a]
Median age, years (range)	73.0 (63-82)
Gender, n (%) Male/female	3 (60)/2 (40)
Median time since diagnosis, years (range)	5.8 (1.2-7.4)
Median number of previous lines (range)	2 (2-4)
Prior ASCT/alkylator exposed, n (%)	1 (20)/4 (80)
Alkylator refractory, n (%)	1 (25)
PI exposed, n (%)	5 (100)
IMiD refractory, n (%)	3 (75)
Daratumumab refractory, n (%)	1 (25)
Last-line refractory, n (%)	2 (50)
ISS stage at study entry, n (%) I/II/III	5 (100)/0/0
High-risk genetic by FISH[b], n (%)	0

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplantation; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IMiD, immunomodulatory agent; ISS, International Staging System; PI, proteasome inhibitor.
[a]One patient with missing refractory status.
[b]High-risk defined as: t(4;14), t(14;16), t(14;20), del(17/17p), or gain(1q).

EFFICACY

- Median treatment duration was 7.4 months (range, 2-11 months)
- Four patients were ongoing (**Figure 4**)
  - One discontinued treatment due to PD after 10 months
- Two patients achieved VGPR and 3 patients achieved PR (**Figure 5**) for an **ORR of 100%**

Figure 4. Swim-Lane Plot



CR, complete response; MR, minimal response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; VGPR, very good PR.

Figure 5. Waterfall Plot (Best M-Protein Change)



PR, partial response; VGPR, very good PR.

SAFETY

- No DLTs were observed at any dose level
- The regimen was well tolerated with clinically manageable grade 3/4 hematologic adverse events (AEs; **Table 2**), and the low number of nonhematologic AEs is noteworthy
- One patient experienced treatment-related serious AEs (**Table 3**)
- No deaths on study were reported

Table 2. Treatment-Related Grade 3/4 AEs (n=5)

Preferred Term	No. of Patients (%) 30 mg (n=3)	40 mg (n=2)
Any Grade 3/4 AE	2 (67)	1 (50)
Thrombocytopenia[a]	2 (67)	1 (50)
Neutropenia[a]	2 (67)	0
Pneumonia[a]	1 (33)	0

AE, adverse event.
[a]Event terms include "platelet count decreased," "neutrophil count decreased," and "pneumonia pneumococcal," respectively.

Table 3. Serious AEs (n=5)

Preferred Term	SAEs (Total n=5) No. of Patients (%) All	Treatment-Related
Any SAE	4 (80)	1 (20)
Pneumonia[a]	1 (20)	1 (20)
Bronchitis	1 (20)	0
Deep vein thrombosis	1 (20)	0
Humerus fracture	1 (20)	0
Neutropenia	1 (20)	1 (20)

[a]Event term includes "pneumonia pneumococcal."
AE, adverse event; SAE, serious AE.

REGIMEN B: Melflufen and dex in combination with daratumumab

- At the time of data cutoff (8 May 2019), 24 patients had been treated with melflufen (6 with 30 mg, 18 with 40 mg)
- Baseline characteristics were as expected in RRMM and similar between the dose levels (**Table 4**)

Table 4. Patient Characteristics: Regimen B

Characteristics	30 mg[a] (n=6)	40 mg (n=18)
Median age, years (range)	57.0 (49-78)	62.0 (35-77)
Gender, n (%) Male/female	3 (50)/3 (50)	13 (72)/5 (27)
Median time since diagnosis, years (range)	3.1 (1.9-8.0)	4.4 (0.7-8.2)
Median number of previous lines (range)	2.5 (1-3)	2 (1-4)
Prior ASCT/ alkylator exposed, n (%)	5 (83)/ 3 (50)	14 (78)/ 10 (56)
Alkylator refractory, n (%)	1 (17)	4 (22)
IMiD refractory, n (%)	3 (50)	11 (61)
PI refractory, n (%)	0	10 (56)
Last-line refractory, n (%)	2 (33)	10 (56)
IMiD + PI refractory, n (%)	0	8 (44)
ISS at study entry,[b] n (%) I/II/III	6 (100)/0/0	13 (76)/2 (12)/2 (12)
High-risk cytogenetic by FISH,[c] n (%)	2 (40)	5 (36)
Median albumin level, g/dL (range)	4.1 (3.1-4.5)	3.9 (3.1-4.9)

ASCT, autologous stem cell transplant; FISH, fluorescence in situ hybridization; IMiD, immunomodulatory agent; ISS, International Staging System; PI, proteasome inhibitor.
[a]Three patients erroneously dosed with 30-mg melflufen instead of the assigned 40 mg.
[b]Missing data for 1 patient.
[c]High-risk defined as: t(4;14), t(14;16), t(14;20), del(17/17p), or gain(1q). Missing data for 5 patients.

EFFICACY

- All 6 patients on 30 mg and 16 of the 18 patients on 40 mg were still ongoing (**Figure 6**)
  - Two discontinued treatment due to physician's decision (1 due to lack of response)
- Median treatment duration was 7.9 months (range, 0-11 months) and 1.2 months (range, 0-9 months) on 30 mg and 40 mg, respectively
- One patient achieved CR, and 4 patients achieved VGPR (**Table 5** and **Figure 7**)
- Median progression-free survival was not reached with only 1 event in 24 patients; patients were censored on their latest progression-free observation (**Figure 8**)

Table 5. Response Assessment

Subgroup	No. of Patients sCR	CR	VGPR	PR	MR	SD	PD	NA	Percentage of Patients ORR	CBR
Total (n=24)	0	1	4	4	4[a]	2	0	9	60	87
Patients with ≥2 completed cycles of therapy (n=11)	0	1	4	4	1[a]	1	0	0	82	91

CBR, clinical benefit rate; CR, complete response; MR, minimal response; NA, no assessment at time of data cutoff; ORR, overall response rate; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; sCR, stringent CR; SD, stable disease; VGPR, very good PR.
[a]Including 3 and 1 unconfirmed MR, respectively.

Figure 6. Swim-Lane Plot



CR, complete response; MR, minimal response; PD, progressive disease; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; VGPR, very good PR.

Figure 7. Waterfall Plot (Best M-Protein Change)



CR, complete response; MR, minimal response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; VGPR, very good PR.

Figure 8. Progression-Free Survival



SAFETY

- No DLTs were observed at any dose level in the phase 1 part of the study
- The regimen was well tolerated with clinically manageable grade 3/4 hematologic AEs (**Table 6**), and the low number of nonhematologic AEs was noteworthy
- Four patients experienced treatment-related serious AEs (**Table 7**)

Table 6. Treatment-Related Grade 3/4 AEs

Preferred term	No. of Patients (%) 30 mg (n=6)	40 mg (n=18)
Any Grade 3/4 AE	5 (83)	14 (78)
Neutropenia[a]	5 (83)	10 (56)
Thrombocytopenia[a]	3 (50)	11 (61)
Anemia	2 (33)	1 (6)
Febrile neutropenia	1 (17)	0
Fatigue	0	1 (6)
Agitation	0	1 (6)
Muscular weakness	0	1 (6)

AE, adverse event.
[a]Event terms include "platelet count decreased" and "neutrophil count decreased," respectively.

Table 7. Serious AEs

Preferred Term	SAEs (Total n=24) No. of Patients (%) All	Treatment-Related
Any SAE	8 (33)	4 (17)
Influenza	1 (4)	0
Parainfluenza virus infection	1 (4)	0
Pneumonia	1 (4)	0
Febrile neutropenia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Neutropenia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Thrombocytopenia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Pyrexia	1 (4)	1 (4)
Chest pain	1 (4)	0
Abdominal pain	1 (4)	1 (4)

AE, adverse event; SAE, serious AE.

CONCLUSIONS

- Based on interim data from ANCHOR in patients with RRMM, the combination of melflufen and dexamethasone with either bortezomib or daratumumab is well tolerated
  - No DLTs have been observed across both regimens and dose levels
  - Grade 3/4 AEs were mostly hematologic, and all were clinically manageable
- Evolving efficacy is encouraging in both combinations, with 90% of patients still on treatment
  - In the ITT population, ORR was 100% for the bortezomib combination and 60% for the daratumumab combination (82% for patients that had completed 2 or more cycles of therapy). Responses with both combinations improved with continued therapy
- The ANCHOR study is ongoing, with active recruitment of patients to the 40-mg bortezomib dose level
- Additional studies with melflufen in RRMM include the following:
  - OP-106 HORIZON, an ongoing, open-label, phase 2 study evaluating efficacy and safety of melflufen plus dex in mainly patients with triple-class refractory RRMM (NCT02963493)
  - OP-103 OCEAN, an ongoing, phase 3, randomized, study evaluating efficacy and safety of melflufen plus dex versus pomalidomide plus dex in patients with RRMM refractory to lenalidomide (NCT03151811)

REFERENCES

1. Yong K, et al. *Br J Haematol*. 2016;175:252-264.
2. Mateos MV, et al. EHA 2018. Abstract #PF581.
3. Richardson PG, et al. *Blood*. 2017;130(suppl, abstr):3150.
4. Hitzerd SM, et al. *Amino Acids*. 2014;46:793-808.
5. Moore HE, et al. *Mol Cancer Ther*. 2009;8:762-770.
6. Wickström M, et al. *Cancer Sci*. 2011;102:501-508.
7. Chauhan D, et al. *Clin Cancer Res*. 2013;19:3019-3031.
8. Wickström M, et al. *Oncotarget*. 2017;8:66641-66655.
9. Wickström M, et al. *Biochem Pharmacol*. 2010;79:1281-1290.
10. Gullbo J, et al. *J Drug Target*. 2003;11:355-363.
11. Ray A, et al. *Br J Haematol*. 2016;174:397-409.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the patients who volunteered to participate in the study, the staff and the study sites who cared for them, the CRO involved in data gathering and analyses as well as the wider Oncopeptides team.

Medical writing support was provided by Shala Thomas, PhD, of Team 9 Science with funding from Oncopeptides.

DISCLOSURES

LR, JD, KLD, JRE, JML, VR, JS: no conflict of interest to report; **YAE:** honoraria from Takeda, Janssen, and Karyopharm; **MG:** honoraria from Celgene and Janssen; **RH:** honoraria: Takeda, Amgen, Celgene, Janssen, and Bristol-Myers Squibb; consultancy/advisory role with Takeda, Amgen, Celgene, Janssen, and Bristol-Myers Squibb; and research funding from Takeda, Amgen, Janssen, and Novartis; **AO:** consultancy/advisory role with Amgen, Janssen, Takeda, and Celgene; **LK:** honoraria from Janssen, Amgen, Celgene, and Takeda; consultancy/advisory role: Janssen, Amgen, Celgene, and Takeda; and travel/accommodations/expenses from Amgen and Janssen; **VM:** honoraria from Janssen, Amgen, and Celgene; consultancy/advisory role with Janssen, Amgen, Celgene, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Takeda; **MVM:** honoraria from Janssen, Celgene, Amgen, and Takeda; and consultancy/advisory role with Janssen, Celgene, Amgen, Takeda, GlaxoSmithKline, AbbVie, and Oncopeptides; **MN:** honoraria from Celgene; consultancy/advisory role with Novartis, Celgene, Pfizer and Jazz Pharmaceuticals; **PGR:** consultancy/advisory role with Oncopeptides; **CB, CJ, MS:** employment and equity ownership with Oncopeptides; **EO:** honoraria from Novartis, Takeda, Amgen, Celgene, Bristol-Myers Squibb, and Janssen; research funding from Array Pharmaceuticals, Mundipharma, Celgene, Amgen, and Sanofi; and consultancy/advisory role with Novartis, Takeda, AbbVie, Pharmamar, Seattle Genetics, Amgen, Celgene, and Janssen

Presented at the Annual Meeting of the Society of Hematologic Oncology (SOHO), September 11-14, 2019, Houston, Texas

oncopeptides